# On an Identity Theorem in the Nevanlinna Class $\mathcal N$

NIKOLAOS DANIKAS

Department of Mathematics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54006 Thessaloniki, Greece

Communicated by Paul L. Butzer

Received June 24, 1992; accepted in revised form December 4, 1992

We prove the following theorem: Let f be in the Nevanlinna class  $\mathcal{N}$ , and let  $z_n$  be distinct points in the unit disk D with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-|z_n|) = \infty$ . Further let  $\lambda_n > 0, \lambda_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\varepsilon_n > 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \infty$ . If

$$|f(z_n)| < \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{1-|z_n|}-\frac{1}{\delta_n^2}\right), \quad n=1, 2, ...$$

where

$$\delta_n = \min\{\varepsilon_n, \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ i \neq n}} |z_n - z_i|\}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then  $f \equiv 0$ . This result is an extension of the classical theorem of Blaschke about the zeros of functions in the Nevanlinna class  $\mathcal{N}$ , in the case when these zeros are distinct.  $\bigcirc$  1994 Academic Press, Inc.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|) = \infty$ , and let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the Nevanlinna class of analytic functions of bounded characteristic in D. It is well known that  $\mathcal{N}$  contains all  $H^p$  functions for every p, 0 (see [2, p. 16]).

We ask the following question: How quickly can the values of a nonconstant function in  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $\{z_n\}$  approximate an arbitrary number in  $\mathbb{C}$ ?

Equivalently this question can be formulated in the following problem: Given a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  as above, describe the sequences  $\{a_n\}, a_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, a_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , for which there is a function  $f \not\equiv 0$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that

> $|f(z_n)| < a_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . 184

0021-9045/94 \$6.00

Copyright © 1994 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. In this paper we prove that a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  cannot satisfy the condition of this problem, if it tends to zero quicker then a certain sequence  $\{a_n^*\}$ , which we give as a concrete expression of n and of  $\{z_n\}$ .

Our proposition is the following:

THEOREM. Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in D for which  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-|z_n|) = \infty$ . Further let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  be two sequences of positive numbers, such that  $\lambda_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \infty$ . If a function  $f \in \mathcal{N}$  satisfies the inequality

$$|f(z_n)| < a_n^* = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{1-|z_n|} - \frac{1}{\delta_n^2}\right) \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$\delta_n = \min\{\varepsilon_n, \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ i \neq n}} |z_n - z_i|\}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then  $f \equiv 0$ .

This means that the only sequences  $\{z_n\}$  of distinct points in D, for which the inequality  $|f(z_n)| < a_n^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is satisfied by a function  $f \not\equiv 0$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ , are the Blaschke sequences.

We mention that according to a classical theorem of Blaschke the zeros  $z_n$  of a nonidentically vanishing function in the class  $\mathcal{N}$  form a Blaschke sequence [2, p.18; 1].

# 2. Two Auxiliary Lemmas

In order to prove our theorem, we state first two auxiliary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $f \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in D$ . Then

$$(1-|z|)\log |f(z)| > -M \quad for all \quad z \in D,$$

where M is a positive constant depending only on the function f.

*Proof.* From our assumption it follows [2, p. 25] that  $\log |f(z)|$  has a representation as a Poisson-Stieltjes integral

$$\log |f(z)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - e^{it}|^2} dv(t), \qquad z \in D,$$

where v(t) is a function of bounded variation on  $[0, 2\pi]$ .

Further it is known that there exist bounded nondecreasing functions  $\mu_1(t)$  and  $\mu_2(t)$ , such that  $v(t) = \mu_1(t) - \mu_2(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

From this we obtain

$$\log |f(z)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - e^{it}|^2} d\mu_1(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - e^{it}|^2} d\mu_2(t)$$
  
$$\ge -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \int_0^{2\pi} d\mu_2(t), \qquad z \in D,$$

which proves our assertion with  $M = 1/\pi \int_0^{2\pi} d\mu_2(t)$  if this integral is positive and M equal to an arbitrary positive constant if  $\int_0^{2\pi} d\mu_2(t) = 0$ .

LEMMA 2. Suppose that  $z_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are distinct points in D with  $|z_n| \to 1$ as  $n \to \infty$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|) = \infty$ , and suppose that  $\varepsilon_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are positive numbers with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \infty$ . If

$$\delta_n = \min\{\varepsilon_n, \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ i \neq n}} |z_n - z_i|\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then for every Blaschke product B(z) the estimate

$$|B(z_n)| \ge \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta_n^2}\right)$$

holds for infinitely many indices n.

*Proof.* First we show that for every Blaschke sequence  $\{w_k\}$  there is a subsequence  $\{z_{n_{\mu}}\}$  of  $\{z_n\}$ , depending on  $\{w_k\}$ , so that for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |z_{n_{\mu}} - w_k| \ge \delta_{n_{\mu}}.$$
 (1)

If this is not true, then for all except at most finitely many  $z_n$ , there exists a  $w \in \{w_k\}$ , so that

$$|z_n - w| < \varepsilon_n, \tag{2}$$

and

$$|z_n - w| < \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ i \neq n}} |z_n - z_i| \le \frac{1}{2} |z_n - z_m| \quad \text{for every} \quad m \neq n.$$
(3)

Each w corresponds exactly to one  $z_n$ , because otherwise we would have for the same w and for a  $z_m, z_m \neq z_n$ , the inequality

$$|z_m - w| < \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ i \neq m}} |z_m - z_i| \leq \frac{1}{2} |z_n - z_m|,$$

which, together with (3), implies the impossible relation

$$|z_n - z_m| < |z_n - z_m|.$$

Of course it is quite possible that to one  $z_n$  there exist more than one  $w \in \{w_k\}$ , so that (2) and (3) hold.

In all cases we can assign to almost every  $z_n$  a w as above, denoted by  $w_{k_n}$ . Clearly these  $w_{k_n}$  are distinct and they form a subsequence of  $\{w_k\}$ .

Form (2) it follows that

$$1-|z_n|<1-|w_{k_n}|+\varepsilon_n,$$

and consequently

$$\sum (1 - |z_n|) < \sum (1 - |w_{k_n}|) + \sum \varepsilon_n$$
$$< \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - |w_k|) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \infty,$$

where the summation in  $\sum (1 - |z_n|)$ ,  $\sum (1 - |w_{k_n}|)$  and  $\sum \varepsilon_n$  extends over all *n* except finitely many.

So we deduce finally that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$ , in contradiction to our assumption about  $\{z_n\}$ , and this ensures the existence of a sequence as in (1).

Let us now consider an arbitrary Blaschke product  $B(z) = B(z, \{w_k\})$  in D with

$$|B(z_n)| < \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta_n^2}\right)$$

for all but a finite number of n's.

We observe that for every  $z \notin \{w_k\}$ ,

$$\log \frac{1}{|B(z)|} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \log \left| \frac{1 - \bar{w}_k z}{z - w_k} \right|^2$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w_k|^2)}{|z - w_k|^2} + 1 \right)$$
$$< (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |w_k|}{|z - w_k|^2}$$

(see also [4, p. 508]).

Hence we get for almost all  $z_{n_u}$ , which satisfy (2), the inequality

$$\delta_{n_{\mu}}^{-2} < \log \frac{1}{|B(z_{n_{\mu}})|} < (1 - |z_{n_{\mu}}|^2) \, \delta_{n_{\mu}}^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - |w_k|).$$

Since  $|z_{n_{\mu}}| \to 1$  as  $\mu \to \infty$ , this implies that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - |w_k|) = \infty$ , in contradiction to the fact that  $\{w_k\}$  is a Blaschke sequence.

# 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

First we prove that if the assumption of the theorem holds for a given sequence  $\{z_n\}$  of distinct points in D, then  $|z_n| \to 1$  as in  $n \to \infty$ .

Otherwise there is a subsequence  $\{z_{n_{\lambda}}\}$  of  $\{z_n\}$ , with  $z_{n_{\lambda}} \to z_0 \in D$  as  $\lambda \to \infty$ . Form  $a_n^* < \exp[-1/\delta_n^2]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in combination with the fact that  $\delta_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that  $f(z_0) = 0$ .

This implies for a  $\gamma > 0$ , an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for  $\lambda$  large enough,

$$\gamma |z_{n_{\lambda}} - z_0|^m < |f(z_{n_{\lambda}})| < \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta_{n_{\lambda}}^2}\right) < \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|z_{n_{\lambda}} - z_0|^2}\right),$$

which is impossible, because

$$|z_{n_{\lambda}}-z_{0}|^{-m}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{|z_{n_{\lambda}}-z_{0}|^{2}}\right) \rightarrow 0$$
 as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let now  $\{z_n\}$  be a given sequence of distinct points in D, with  $|z_n| \to 1$ as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|) = \infty$ . Assuming that there is a function  $f \neq 0$ in  $\mathcal{N}$  with

$$|f(z_n)| < \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{1-|z_n|}-\frac{1}{\delta_n^2}\right)$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

and using Lemmas 1 and 2 we obtain a contradiction.

It is known that the function f has a factorization of the form

$$f(z) = \Phi(z) B(z), \quad z \in D,$$

where B(z) is a Blaschke product and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $\Phi(z) \neq 0$ ,  $z \in D$ [2, p. 25].

In view of Lemma 2 there exists a subsequence  $\{z_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{z_n\}$ , such that

$$|B(z_{n_k})| \ge \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta_{n_k}^2}\right)$$
 for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

with  $\delta_n$  defined as in the formulation of Lemma 2.

From this we deduce

$$|\Phi(z_{n_k})| = \frac{|f(z_{n_k})|}{|B(z_{n_k})|} < \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_{n_k}}{1-|z_{n_k}|}\right),$$

or

$$(1-|z_{n_k}|)\log|\Phi(z_{n_k})|<-\lambda_{n_k} \quad \text{for all } k.$$

The last inequality implies that

$$(1 - |z_{n_k}|) \log |\Phi(z_{n_k})| \to -\infty$$
 as  $k \to \infty$ ,

which is impossible by Lemma 1. This completes the proof of the theorem.

# 4. Remarks

1. The condition that the  $z_n$  should be distinct cannot be ommitted in our theorem. This can be shown by the following example.

We consider the sequence  $\{r_k\}$ ,  $r_k = 1 - 1/k^2$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and then the sequence  $\{\rho_n\} = \{r_1, r_2, r_2, r_3, r_3, r_3, ...\}$  which consists of the points  $r_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , each taken k times.

It holds

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\rho_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(1-r_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty,$$

even though for the Blaschke product  $B(z) = B(z, \{r_k\})$  and for every sequence  $\{a_n\}, a_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$|B(r_n)| = 0 < a_n$$
 for all  $n$ .

2. If the function f in the statement of our theorem is analytic in D but not in the Nevanlinna class, then our proposition is in general false.

A counterexample is given by the function

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{n}{n-1} z \right)^n \right).$$

This function is analytic in D and has n distinct zeros on the circle |z| = 1 - 1/n for every positive integer  $n \ge 2$ , so it vanishes on a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  which is not Blaschke (see also [3]).

3. In the statement of our theorem we give a critical sequence  $\{a_n^*\}$ , which depends on *n* and on the position of the  $z_n$ . The following example shows that it is not possible to replace  $\{a_n^*\}$  by a sequence  $\{\tilde{a}_n\}$ ,  $\tilde{a}_n > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tilde{a}_n \to 0$ , depending on *n* alone.

We consider a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  of distinct points in D, with

$$|z_n| = r_k = 1 - \frac{1}{k^2}$$

and

$$|z_n - r_k| < \frac{1}{k^2} \min\{\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, ..., \tilde{a}_{k(k+1)/2}\},\$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and every n = k(k-1)/2 + 1, ..., k(k+1)/2.

Let now *n* be arbitrary in  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $k_n$  be the uniquely determined natural number with  $n \in [k_n(k_n-1)/2 + 1, k_n(k_n+1)/2]$ .

For the Blaschke product  $B(z) = B(z, \{r_k\})$  we have

$$|B(z_n)| < \left|\frac{z_n - r_{k_n}}{1 - r_{k_n} z_n}\right| < \frac{|z_n - r_{k_n}|}{1 - r_{k_n}} < \tilde{a}_n,$$

although  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/k = \infty$ .

However, it remains an open quenstion if we can replace  $\{a_n^*\}$  by a critical sequence depending on the position of the  $z_n$  alone.

#### References

- 1. W. BLASCHKE, in "Eine Erweiterung des Satzes von Vitali über Folgen analytischer Funktionen" (S.-B. Sächs, Ed.), Vol. 67, pp. 194–200, Akad. Wiss. Leipzig, 1915.
- 2. P. L. DUREN, "Theory of HP-Spaces," Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- W. K. HAYMAN AND B. KORENBLUM, A critical growth rate for functions regular in a disk, Michigan Math. J. 27 (1980), 21-30.
- 4. C. TANAKA, On the rate of growth of Blaschke products in the unit circle, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 41, No. 7 (1965), 507-510.